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1. Introduction to DIS

A. Deep-inelastic scattering cross-section:

\[ \sigma \sim L^{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \]

Hadron part \( F^{\mu\nu} (Q^2 = -q^2 > 0, x = Q^2/[2(pq)]) \):

\[
F^{\mu\nu} = \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2}\right) F_1(x, Q^2) \\
- \left(p^\mu - \frac{(pq) q^\mu}{q^2}\right) \left(p^\nu - \frac{(pq) q^\nu}{q^2}\right) \frac{2x}{q^2} F_2(x, Q^2) + \ldots,
\]

where \( F_k(x, Q^2) \) \( (k = 1, 2, 3, L) \) - are DIS SF and \( q \) and \( p \) are photon and hadron (parton) momentums.
B. Wilson operator expansion: Mellin moments $M_k(n, Q^2)$ of DIS SF $F_k(x, Q^2)$ can be represented as sum

$$M_k(n, Q^2) = \sum_{a=NS,SI,g} \frac{C_k^a(n, Q^2/\mu^2)}{\text{Coeff. function}} A_a(n, \mu^2),$$

where $A_a(n, \mu^2) = \langle N | \mathcal{O}_a^\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n | N \rangle$ are matrix elements of the Wilson operators $\mathcal{O}_a^\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n$. 
C. The matrix elements $A_a(n, \mu^2)$ are Mellin moments of the unpolarized PD $f_a(n, \mu^2)$.

DGLAP [= Renormgroup] equations:

$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q^2} f_a(x, Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \sum_b W_{b \rightarrow a}(x/y) f_b(y, Q^2). \quad (1)$$

The anomalous dimensions (AD) $\gamma_{ab}(n)$ of the twist-2 Wilson operators $O^a_{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n}$ (hereafter $a_s = \alpha_s/(4\pi)$)

$$\gamma_{ab}(n) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{n-1} W_{b \rightarrow a}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{ab}^{(m)}(n) a_s^m,$$

All parton densities are multiplies by $x$, t.e. structure function = combination of parton densities.
3. Method


Here I present briefly the method, which leads to the possibility to replace the Mellin convolution of two functions

\[ f_1(x) \otimes f_2(x) \equiv \int_1^x \frac{dy}{y} f_1(y)f_2(x/y) \]

by a simple products at small \( x \).
A. So, if \( f_1(x) = B_k(x, Q^2) \) is perturbatively calculated Wilson kernel and \( f_2(x) = x f_a(x, Q^2) \sim x^{-\delta} \) at \( x \to 0 \), then

\[
f_1(x) \otimes f_2(x) \approx M_k(1 + \delta, Q^2) f_2(x) \tag{2}
\]

where \( M_k(1 + \delta, Q^2) \) is the analytical continuation to non-integer arguments of the Mellin moment \( M_k(n, Q^2) \) of \( B_k(x, Q^2) \):

\[
M_k(n, Q^2) = \int_0^1 x^{n-2} B_k(x, Q^2) \tag{3}
\]

The equation (2) is correct if the moment \( M_k(n, Q^2) \) has no singularity at \( n \to 1 \).
B. The general case

$(M(n) \text{ contains the singularity at } n \to 1)$:

the form of subasymptotics of $f_2(x)$ starts to be important.

Let PD have the different forms:

- Regge-like form $xf_R(x) = x^{-\delta} \tilde{f}(x)$,
- Logarithmic-like form $xf_L(x) = x^{-\delta} \ln(1/x) \tilde{f}(x)$,
- Bessel-like form $xf_I(x) = x^{-\delta} I_k(2\sqrt{d\ln(1/x)}) \tilde{f}(x)$,

where $\tilde{f}(x)$ and its derivative $\tilde{f}'(x) \equiv d\tilde{f}(x)/dx$ are smooth at $x = 0$ and both are equal to zero at $x = 1$:

$$\tilde{f}(1) = \tilde{f}'(1) = 0$$
Then \((i = R, L, I)\)

\[
f_1(x) \otimes f_2(x) \approx \tilde{M}_k(1 + \delta_i, Q^2) f_2(x),
\]

where \(\tilde{M}_{1+\delta_i} = M_{1+\delta}\) with \(1/\delta \to 1/\tilde{\delta}_i\).

Regge-like behavior:

\[
1/\tilde{\delta}_R = 1/\delta \left[ 1 - x^\delta \frac{\Gamma(1 - \delta)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(1 + \nu - \delta)} \right],
\]

where \(x f_R(x) \sim (1 - x)\nu\) at \(x \to 1\).

The second term comes from low part of convolution integral

\[
f_1(x) \otimes f_2(x) \equiv \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} f_1(x/y) f_2(y) \tag{4}
\]
So,

\[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_R} = \frac{1}{\delta} \text{ if } x^\delta << 1 \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_R} = \ln \frac{1}{x} - [\Psi(1 + \nu) - \Psi(1)] \text{ if } \delta = 0 \]

Analogously, for nonRegge behavior at \( \delta \to 0 \)

\[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_L} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{x} + O(1/\ln(1/x)), \]

\[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_I} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/x)}{\hat{d}}} I_{k+1}(2\sqrt{\hat{d}\ln(1/x)}) \]

\[ \sqrt{\hat{d}} I_k(2\sqrt{\hat{d}\ln(1/x)}) \]
3. Double-logarithmic approach

(A.V.K. and G.Parente, 1998),

1 Leading order without quarks (a pedagogical example)

At the momentum space, the solution of the DGLAP equation in this case has the form

\[ M_g(n, Q^2) = M_g(n, Q_{0}^{2})e^{-d_{gg}(n)s}, \]

where \( M_g(n, Q^2) \) are the moments of the gluon distribution,

\[ s = \ln \left( \frac{\alpha(Q_{0}^2)}{\alpha(Q^2)} \right), \quad \alpha(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{gg} = \frac{\gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(n)}{2\beta_0} \]

The terms \( \gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(n) \) and \( \beta_0 \) are respectively the LO coefficients of the gluon-gluon AD and the QCD \( \beta \)-function.
For any perturbatively calculable variable $Q(n)$, it is very convenient to separate the singular part when $n \to 1$ (denoted by “$\hat{Q}$”) and the regular part (marked as “$\overline{Q}$”):

$$Q(n) = \frac{\hat{Q}}{n - 1} + \overline{Q}(n)$$

Then, the above equation can be represented by the form

$$M_g(n, Q^2) = M_g(n, Q^2_0) e^{-\hat{\gamma}_{gg} s_{LO}/(n-1)} e^{-\hat{d}_{gg}(n)s_{LO}},$$

with $\hat{\gamma}_{gg} = -8C_A$ and $C_A = N$ for $SU(N)$ group.

Finally, if one takes the flat boundary conditions

$$x f_a(x, Q^2_0) = A_a, \quad \rightarrow \quad M_a(n, Q^2_0) = \frac{A_a}{n - 1} \quad (5)$$
1.1 Classical double-logarithmic case ($d_{gg}(n) = 0$)


Then, expanding the second exponential in the above equation

$$M_g^{cdl}(n, Q^2) = A_g \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! (n - 1)^{k+1}} (-\hat{d}_{gg}s_{LO})^k$$

and using the Mellin transformation for $(ln(1/x))^k$:

$$\int_0^1 dx x^{n-2} (ln(1/x))^k = \frac{k!}{(n - 1)^{k+1}}$$

we immediately obtain the well known double-logarithmic behavior

$$f_g^{cdl}(x, Q^2) = A_g \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} (-\hat{d}_{gg}s_{LO})^k (ln(1/x))^k = A_g I_0(\sigma_{LO})$$

where $I_0(\sigma_{LO})$ is the modified Bessel function with argument $\sigma_{LO} = 2\sqrt{\hat{d}_{gg}s_{LO}ln(x)}$. (R.D.Ball and S.Forte, 1994),
1.2 The more general case

For a regular kernel $\tilde{K}(x)$, having Mellin moment (nonsingular at $n \to 1$)

$$K(n) = \int_0^1 dx x^{n-2} \tilde{K}(x)$$

and the PD $f_a(x)$ in the form $I_{\nu}(\sqrt{d \ln(1/x)})$ we have the following equation

$$\tilde{K}(x) \otimes f_a(x) = K(1) f_a(x) + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{\ln(1/x)}}\right)$$
So, one can find the general solution for the LO gluon density without the influence of quarks

\[ f_g(x, Q^2) = A_g I_0(\sigma_{LO}) e^{-d_{gg}(1)_{sLO}} + O(\rho_{LO}), \]

where (R.D. Ball and S. Forte, 1994)

\[ \rho_{LO} = \sqrt{\frac{d_{gg}s_{LO}}{\ln(x)}} = \frac{\sigma_{LO}}{2\ln(1/x)} , \quad \gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(1) = 22 + \frac{4}{3}f \]

and

\[ \bar{d}_{gg}(1) = 1 + \frac{4f}{3 \beta_0} \]

with \( f \) as the number of active quarks.
At the momentum space, the solution of the DGLAP equation at LO has the form (after diagonalization)

\[ M_a(n, Q^2) = M^+_a(n, Q^2) + M^-_a(n, Q^2) \]  
and 

\[ M^\pm_a(n, Q^2) = M^\pm_a(n, Q^2_0) e^{-d^\pm(n)s} = M^\pm_a e^{-\hat{d}^\pm/(n-1)e^{-d^\pm(n)s}}, \]

where

\[ M^\pm_a(n, Q^2) = \varepsilon^\pm_{ab}(n) M_b(n, Q^2), \quad d_{ab} = \frac{\gamma_{ab}^{(0)}(n)}{2\beta_0}, \]

\[ d^\pm(n) = \frac{1}{2}[(d_{gg}(n) + d_{qq}(n)) \pm (d_{gg}(n) - d_{qq}(n)) \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{4d_{qq}(n)d_{gg}(n)}{(d_{gg}(n) - d_{qq}(n))^2}\right)^2}] \]

\[ \varepsilon^\pm_{qq}(n) = \varepsilon^\mp_{gg}(n) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{d_{qq}(n) - d_{gg}(n)}{d^\pm(n) - d^\mp(n)}), \]
\[ \varepsilon_{ab}^\pm(n) = \frac{d_{ab}(n)}{d_\pm(n) - d_\mp(n)} (a \neq b) \]

As the singular (when \( n \to 1 \)) part of the + component of the anomalous dimension is \( \hat{d}_+ = \hat{d}_{gg} = -4C_A/\beta_0 \) while the - component does not exist: \( \hat{d}_- = 0 \), we consider below both cases separately.
2.1 The “+” component

The analysis of the “+” component is practically identical to the case studied before. The only difference lies in the appearance of new terms $\varepsilon_{ab}^+(n)$!!!. If they are expanded in the vicinity of $n = 1$ in the form $\varepsilon_{ab}^+(n) = \varepsilon_{ab}^+ + (n - 1)\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ab}^+$,!! then for the terms $\varepsilon_{ab}^+$ multiplying $M_b(n, Q^2)$, we have the same results as in previous section:

$$\varepsilon_{ab}^+ M_b(n, Q^2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}^{-1}} \varepsilon_{ab}^+ A_b I_0(\sigma_{LO}) e^{-\hat{d}+(1)s_{LO}} + O(\rho_{LO}),$$

where the symbol $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}^{-1}}$ denotes the inverse Mellin transformation. The values of $\sigma$ and $\rho$ coincide with those defined in the previous section because $\hat{d}_+ = \hat{d}_{gg}$.
The terms $\tilde{\varepsilon}^+_{ab}$ that come with the additional factor $(n - 1)$ in front, lead to the following results

$$(n - 1)\tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab \frac{A_b}{(n - 1)} e^{-\hat{d} + s_{LO}/(n-1)} = \tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(n - 1)^k} (-\hat{d} + s_{LO})^k (\ln(1/x))^{k-1}$$

$\mathcal{M}^{-1} \rightarrow \tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab A_b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k - 1)!} (-\hat{d} + s_{LO})^k (\ln(1/x))^{k-1} = \tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab \rho_{LO} I_1(\sigma_{LO}),$

i.e. the additional factor $(n - 1)$ in momentum space leads to replacing the Bessel function $I_0(\sigma_{LO})$ by $\rho_{LO} I_1(\sigma_{LO})$ in $x$-space.

Thus, we obtain that the term $\varepsilon^+_ab(n) M_b(n, Q^2)$ leads to the following contribution in $x$ space !!!:

$$(\tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab I_0(\sigma_{LO}) + \tilde{\varepsilon}^+_ab \rho_{LO} I_1(\sigma_{LO})) A_b e^{-\hat{d} + (1)s_{LO}} + O(\rho_{LO})$$
Because the Bessel function $I_\nu(\sigma)$ has the $\nu$-independent asymptotic behavior \[ e^\sigma/\sqrt{\sigma} \text{ at } \sigma \to \infty \text{ (i.e. } x \to 0), \] the second term is $O(\rho)$ and must be kept only \[ \text{when } \bar{\epsilon}_{ab}^+ = 0. \] This is the case for the quark distribution at the LO approximation.

Using the concrete AD values, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_g^+(x, Q^2) &= (A_g + \frac{4}{9}A_q)I_0(\sigma_{LO})e^{-\bar{d}+(1)s_{LO}} + O(\rho_{LO}) \quad \text{and} \\
    f_q^+(x, Q^2) &= \frac{f}{9}(A_g + \frac{4}{9}A_q)\rho_{LO}I_1(\sigma_{LO})e^{-\bar{d}+(1)s_{LO}} + O(\rho_{LO})
\end{align*}
\]

where $\bar{d}+(1) = 1 + 20f/(27\beta_0)$. 
2.2 the “−” component

In this case the anomalous dimension is regular and one has

\[ \varepsilon_{ab}(n)A_b e^{-d_n(s)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}^{-1}} \varepsilon_{ab}(1)A_b e^{-d_{-}(1)s_{LO}} + O(x) \]

Using the concrete AD values, we have

\[ f_g^{-}(x, Q^2) = -\frac{4}{9} A_q e^{-d_{-}(1)s_{LO}} + O(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_q^{-}(x, Q^2) = A_q e^{-d_{-}(1)s_{LO}} + O(x), \]

where \( d_{-}(1) = 16 f / (27 \beta_0) \).
Finally we present the full small $x$ asymptotic results for PD and $F_2$ structure function at LO of perturbation theory:

\[ f_a(x, Q^2) = f_a^+(x, Q^2) + f_a^-(x, Q^2) \] and
\[ F_2(x, Q^2) = e \cdot f_q(z, Q^2) \]

where $f_q^+, f_g^+, f_q^-$ and $f_g^-$ were already given before and $e = \sum_{1}^{f} e_i^2 / f$ is the average charge square of the $f$ active quarks.

Extension to NLO is trivial and can be found in (A.V.K. and G.Parente, 1998)
So, we resume the steps we have followed to reach the small $x$ approximate solution of DGLAP shown above:

- Use the $n$-space exact solution.
- Expand the perturbatively calculated parts (AD and coefficient functions) in the vicinity of the point $n = 1$.
- The singular part with the form

$$A_a(n - 1)^k e^{-\hat{d}s_{LO}/(n-1)}$$

leads to Bessel functions in the $x$-space in the form

$$A_a\left(\frac{\hat{d}s_{LO}}{\ln x}\right)^{(k+1)/2} I_{k+1}(2\sqrt{\hat{d}s_{LO}\ln x})$$
• The regular part $B(n) \exp(-\bar{d}(n)s_{LO})$ leads to the additional coefficient

$$B(1)\exp(-\bar{d}(1)s_{LO}) + O(\sqrt{\hat{d}s_{LO}/\ln x})$$

behind of the Bessel function in the $x$-space. Because the accuracy is $O(\sqrt{\hat{d}s_{LO}/\ln x})$, it is necessary to use only the first nonzero term, i.e. all terms $(n - 1)^k$ in front of $\exp(-\hat{d}/(n - 1))$, with the exception of one with the smaller $k$ value, can be neglected.

• If the singular part at $n \to 1$ is absent, i.e. $\hat{d} = 0$, the result in the $x$-space is determined by $B(1)\exp(-\bar{d}(1)s_{LO})$ with accuracy $O(x)$. 
4. **Fits of HERA data**

At low $x$, the structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$ is related to parton densities as *(A.V.K. and G.Parente, 1998)*

at LO

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{5}{18} f_q(x, Q^2)$$

at NLO

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{5}{18} \left[ f_q(x, Q^2) + \frac{2f}{3} a_s(Q^2) f_g(x, Q^2) \right].$$

Fits of HERA experimental data of the structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$ *(A.Yu.Illarionov, A.V.K. and G.Parente, 2004)*

!!! Only two parameters: $A_q$ and $A_g

$\Lambda_{QCD}$ cannon be extract in small $x$ Physics.
$Q^2 = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 5.0 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 6.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 8.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 25 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 35 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 45 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 60 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 90 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 120 \text{ GeV}^2$

$10^{-5} \quad 10^{-4} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 10^{-1}$
5. Analytical and “frozen” coupling constants

Two modifications of the coupling constant (G.Cvetic, A.Yu.Illarinov, B.A. Kniehl, and A.V.Kotikov, 2009)

A. More phenomenological.

We introduce freezing of the coupling constant by changing its argument $Q^2 \rightarrow Q^2 + M_\rho^2$, where $M_\rho$ is usually the $\rho$-meson mass. Thus, in the formulae of the previous Sections we should do the following replacement

$$a_s(Q^2) \rightarrow a_{fr}(Q^2) \equiv a_s(Q^2 + M_\rho^2) \quad (6)$$
B. Theoretical approach.

Incorporates the Shirkov-Solovtsov idea (D.V. Shirkov and L.I. Solovtsov, 1997), about analyticity of the coupling constant that leads to the additional its power dependence.

(K.A. Milton, A.V. Nesterenko, O. Solovtsova, G. Svetic, C. Valenzuela, I. Schmidt, O. Teryaev, N. Stefanis, A. Bakulev, S. Mikhailov, ... )
Then, in the formulae of the previous Section the coupling constant $a_s(Q^2)$ should be replaced as follows

$$a_{an}^{LO}(Q^2) = a_s(Q^2) - \frac{1}{\beta_0 Q^2 - \Lambda_{LO}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

at the LO approximation and

$$a_{an}(Q^2) = a_s(Q^2) - \frac{1}{2\beta_0 Q^2 - \Lambda^2} + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

at the NLO approximation, where the symbol $\ldots$ marks numerically small terms.
Table 1: The result of the LO and NLO fits to H1 and ZEUS data for different low $Q^2$ cuts. In the fits $f$ is fixed to 4 flavors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q^2 \geq 1.5\text{GeV}^2$</th>
<th>$A_g$</th>
<th>$A_g$</th>
<th>$Q_0^2$ [GeV$^2$]</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/n.o.p.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>0.784±0.016</td>
<td>0.801±0.019</td>
<td>0.304±0.003</td>
<td>754/609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO&amp;can.</td>
<td>0.932±0.017</td>
<td>0.707±0.020</td>
<td>0.339±0.003</td>
<td>632/609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO&amp;fr.</td>
<td>1.022±0.018</td>
<td>0.650±0.020</td>
<td>0.356±0.003</td>
<td>547/609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>-0.200±0.011</td>
<td>0.903±0.021</td>
<td>0.495±0.006</td>
<td>798/609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO&amp;can.</td>
<td>0.310±0.013</td>
<td>0.640±0.022</td>
<td>0.702±0.008</td>
<td>655/609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO&amp;fr.</td>
<td>0.180±0.012</td>
<td>0.780±0.022</td>
<td>0.661±0.007</td>
<td>669/609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q^2 \geq 0.5\text{GeV}^2$</th>
<th>$A_g$</th>
<th>$A_g$</th>
<th>$Q_0^2$ [GeV$^2$]</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/n.o.p.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>0.641±0.010</td>
<td>0.937±0.012</td>
<td>0.295±0.003</td>
<td>1090/662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO&amp;can.</td>
<td>0.846±0.010</td>
<td>0.771±0.013</td>
<td>0.328±0.003</td>
<td>803/662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO&amp;fr.</td>
<td>1.127±0.011</td>
<td>0.534±0.015</td>
<td>0.358±0.003</td>
<td>679/662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>-0.192±0.006</td>
<td>1.087±0.012</td>
<td>0.478±0.006</td>
<td>1229/662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO&amp;can.</td>
<td>0.281±0.008</td>
<td>0.634±0.016</td>
<td>0.680±0.007</td>
<td>633/662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO&amp;fr.</td>
<td>0.205±0.007</td>
<td>0.650±0.016</td>
<td>0.589±0.006</td>
<td>670/662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Usage of the analytical and “frozen” coupling constants leads to improvement with data: $\chi^2$ decreased twicely
- Really, no difference between results based on the analytical and “frozen” coupling constants.

!!! One example of application the analytical and “frozen” coupling constants: (A.V.Kotikov, A.V.Lipatov and N.P.Zotov, 2004)
The results for $F_2$ and for the slope of the SF $F_2$

The double-logarithmic behaviour can mimic a power law shape over a limited region of $x, Q^2$.

$$f_a(x, Q^2) \sim x^{-\lambda_{a}^{\text{eff}}(x,Q^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(x, Q^2) \sim x^{-\lambda_{F2}^{\text{eff}}(x,Q^2)}$$
$\lambda_{F_2}(x, Q^2)$

- H1 96/97
- ZEUS slope fit 2001 prel.
- Donnachie & Landshoff (2003)
- CKMT (2001)

$x = 10^{-3}$

- NLO
- NLO & an
- NLO & fr
\( \Lambda_{F_2}^{\text{eff}}(x, Q^2) \)

- H1 96/97
- ZEUS slope fit 2001 prelim.

\[
x = 10^{-2}
\]

\[
x = 10^{-5}
\]

NLO & fr
6. Cross section of neutrino-nucleon scattering at high energy


Charged-current (CC) and neutral-current (NC) DIS processes:

\[ \nu(k) + N(P) \rightarrow \ell(k') + X, \]
\[ \nu(k) + N(P) \rightarrow \nu(k') + X, \]

(9)

\[ N = (p + n)/2 \text{ denotes an isoscalar nucleon target of mass } M. \]

Familiar kinematic variables

\[ s = (k + P)^2, \quad Q^2 = -q^2, \quad x = \frac{Q^2}{2q \cdot P}, \quad y = \frac{q \cdot P}{k \cdot P}, \]

(10)

where \( q = k - k' \).

In the target rest frame, we have \( s = M(2E_\nu + M) \) and \( xy = \frac{Q^2}{(2ME_\nu)} \).
Inclusive spin-averaged double-differential cross sections:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^\nu_N}{dx\,dy} = \frac{G_F^2 M E_\nu}{2\pi} K_i \left( \frac{M_V^2}{Q^2 + M_V^2} \right)^2 K(y) F_2^\nu_N,$$

where $i = CC, NC; V = W, Z,$

$G_F$ is Fermi’s constant, $K(y) = 2 - 2y + y^2$.

$K_{CC} = 1, K_{NC} = 1/2 - x_w + (10/9)x_w^2$, where $x_w = \sin^2 \theta_w$, with $\theta_w$ being the weak mixing angle. Using $x_w = 0.231$, we have $K_{NC} = 0.328$.

The contributions due to the structure functions $F_L^\nu_N$ and $F_3^\nu_N$ to the r.h.s. of Eq. (11) are negligibly small.
Impose the lower cut-off $Q^2_0$ on $Q^2$:

$$\sigma^\nu_{iN}(E_\nu) = \frac{1}{2M E_\nu} \int_{Q^2_0}^{2M E_\nu} dQ^2 \int_{\hat{x}}^1 \frac{dx}{x} \frac{d^2\sigma^\nu_i}{dx dy},$$

(12)

where $\hat{x} = Q^2/(2M E_\nu)$.

$$\sigma^\nu_{iN}(E_\nu) = \frac{G^2_F}{4\pi} K_i \int_{Q^2_0}^{2M E_\nu} dQ^2 \left( \frac{M^2_V}{Q^2 + M^2_V} \right)^2$$

$$\times \int_{\hat{x}}^1 \frac{dx}{x} K \left( \frac{\hat{x}}{x} \right) F^i_2(x, Q^2).$$

(13)

The inner integral can be rewritten as the Mellin convolution

$K(\hat{x}) \otimes F^\nu_{iN}(\hat{x}, Q^2)$. 
Explore the low-$x$ asymptotic form $F_2^{\nu N}(x, Q^2) \simeq x^{-\delta} \tilde{F}_2^{\nu N}(x, Q^2)$:

$$K(\hat{x}) \otimes F_2^{\nu N}(\hat{x}, Q^2) \simeq \tilde{M}(\hat{x}, Q^2, 1 + \delta) F_2^{\nu N}(\hat{x}, Q^2) \quad (14)$$

at small values of $\hat{x}$.

Here,

$$\tilde{M}(\hat{x}, Q^2, 1 + \delta) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\delta}(\hat{x}, Q^2)} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) + M(1 + \delta), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\delta}(x, Q^2)} = \frac{1}{F_2^{\nu N}(x, Q^2)} \int_x^1 dy \frac{\tilde{F}_2^{\nu N}(y, Q^2)}{y} \quad (16)$$

and $M(1 + \delta)$ is the analytic continuation of the Mellin moment

$$M(n) = \int_0^1 dx \ x^{n-2} K(x) = \frac{2}{n-1} - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} \quad (17)$$

for integer values of $n$. 
So,

\[
\sigma^\nu_i N(E_\nu) \simeq \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} K_i \int_{Q_0^2}^{2M E_\nu} dQ^2 \left( \frac{M_V^2}{Q^2 + M_V^2} \right)^2 \\
\times \tilde{M}(\tilde{x}, Q^2, 1 + \delta) F_2^{\nu N}(\tilde{x}, Q^2) \quad (18)
\]

Because the $Q^2$ dependence of $F_2^{\nu N}(\hat{x}, Q^2)$ is twist-2 like one, the factor $[M_V^2/(Q^2 + M_V^2)]^2$ essentially fixes the scale $Q^2 = M_V^2$:

\[
\sigma^\nu_i N(E_\nu) \simeq \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} K_i M_V^2 \tilde{M}(\tilde{x}, M_V^2, 1 + \delta) F_2^{\nu N}(\tilde{x}, M_V^2) \quad (19)
\]

where $\tilde{x} = M_V^2/(2M E_\nu)$. 
(1) If \( \delta \) is not too small: \( \tilde{x}^\delta \ll \text{Const} \)

\[
\tilde{M}(\tilde{x}, Q^2, 1 + \delta) = M(1 + \delta) = \frac{4 + 3\delta + \delta^2}{\delta(\delta + 1)(\delta + 2)}
\]  
(20)

becomes independent of \( \tilde{x} \) and \( Q^2 \).

(2) If \( \delta \ll 1 \),

\[
\tilde{M}(\tilde{x}, Q^2, 1 + \delta) = \frac{2}{\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{x}, Q^2)} - \frac{3}{2},
\]
(21)

where \( \tilde{\delta} \) is determined by the asymptotic low-\( x \) behavior of \( \tilde{F}_2^{\nu N} \):

if \( \tilde{F}_2^{\nu N}(x, Q^2) \propto \ln^p(1/x) \) for \( x \to 0 \), then

\[
1/\tilde{\delta}(x, Q^2) = \ln(1/x)/(p + 1).
\]
3 forms of $F_2^{\nu N}(x, Q^2)$:

(1) HERAPDF1.0 set

In the low-$x$ regime, it may be well approximated by the following ansatz:

$$F_{2,PM}^{\ell N}(x, Q^2) = C_{PM}(Q^2)x^{-\delta_{PM}(Q^2)},$$

(22)

From our fit:

$$\delta_{PM}(M_Z^2) \approx \delta_{PM}(M_W^2) \approx 0.37,$$

(23)
Berger, Block, and Tan (BBT) form

\[ F_{2,BBT}^{\ell N}(x, Q^2) = (1 - x) \left[ A_0 + A_1(Q^2) \ln \frac{x_P(1 - x)}{x(1 - x_P)} \right. \]
\[ \left. + \ A_2(Q^2) \ln^2 \frac{x_P(1 - x)}{x(1 - x_P)} \right], \tag{24} \]

where \( A_0 = F_P/(1 - x_P) \), with \( F_P = 0.413 \) and \( x_P = 0.11 \).

We have

\[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{BBT}(x, Q^2)} \sim \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{2} A_i \ln^{i+1}(x_P/x)/(i+1)}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} A_i \ln^i(x_P/x)} \sim \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{x_P}{x}. \tag{25} \]

So, \( F_{2,BBT}^{\ell N}(\tilde{x}, M_V^2) \propto \ln^2 s, \quad 1/\tilde{\delta}_{BBT}(\tilde{x}, M_V^2) \propto \ln s. \)

This leads us to the important observation that \( \sigma_{BBT}^{\nu N} \propto \ln^3 s \), which manifestly violates the Froissart bound in contrast to what is stated in BBT papers.
(3) Improved Haidt (H) ansatz

\[ F_{2,H}^\ell(x, Q^2) = B_0 + B_1(Q^2) \ln \frac{x_0}{x}, \]
\[ B_1(Q^2) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} b_i \ln^i \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2} \right). \quad (26) \]

We have

\[ \frac{1}{\delta_H(x, Q^2)} \simeq \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{1} B_i \ln^{i+1} \left( x_0/x \right) / (i+1)}{\sum_{i=0}^{1} B_i \ln^i \left( x_0/x \right)} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x_0}{x}, \quad (27) \]

with \( x_0 = 0.06. \)

So that \( \sigma_H^\nu N \propto \ln^2 s \) as it should.
Conclusion

- I have demonstrated the low $x$ asymptotics of parton densities and SF $F_2$.
- Low $x$ asymptotics of $F_2$ are in good agreement with data from HERA at $Q^2 \geq 2.5$ GeV$^2$.
- Usage of the analytical and “frozen” coupling constants leads to improvement with data from HERA at $Q^2 \leq 2.5$ GeV$^2$.
- For quite flat $F_2(x, Q^2)$ (i.e. $F_2(x, Q^2)/x^{-\delta} \to 0$ for any $\delta$ values)
  \[
  \sigma_i^{\nu N}(E_\nu)/F_2^\nu N(\tilde{x}, M_V^2) \text{ contains } \ln(1/\tilde{x}),
  \]
  where $\tilde{x} = M_V^2/(2ME_\nu)$.
  So BBT form of $F_2(x, M_V^2)$ violates the Froissart bound.
Next steps:

- To consider the models of $F_2(x, Q^2)$ with saturation.
  
  [preliminary] For quite flat $F_2(x, Q^2)$ (i.e. $F_2(x, Q^2)/x^{-\delta} \to 0$ for any $\delta$ values)

  $$\frac{\sigma_i^{\nu N}(E_\nu)}{F_2^{\nu N}(\tilde{x}, M_V^2)} \sim \ln^2(1/\tilde{x}),$$

  because $F_2(x, Q^2) \sim Q^2$.

- To analyse of some LHC processes using the analytic and “frozen” coupling constants.